



P. Bedrosian 7

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Patent Application

Applicant(s): P. Bedrosian
 Case: 7
 Serial No.: 09/332,726
 Filing Date: June 14, 1999
 Group: 2663
 Examiner: Keith M. George

I hereby certify that this paper is being deposited on this date with the U.S. Postal Service as first class mail addressed to the Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

Signature: Leisa M. Hamli Date: April 7, 2004

RECEIVED

APR 14 2004

Technology Center 2600

Title: Method and Apparatus for Synchronization of
 High-Bit-Rate Digital Subscriber Line Signals

TRANSMITTAL LETTER

Commissioner for Patents
 P.O. Box 1450
 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

Submitted herewith is the following document relating to the above-identified patent application:

(1) Response to Office Action.

There is no additional fee due in conjunction with the response. In the event of any non-payment or improper payment of a required fee, the Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge or to credit **Ryan, Mason & Lewis, LLP Account No. 50-0762** as required to correct the error.

Respectfully submitted,

Robert W. Griffith
 Attorney for Applicant(s)
 Reg. No. 48,956
 Ryan, Mason & Lewis, LLP
 90 Forest Avenue
 Locust Valley, NY 11560
 (516) 759-4547

Date: April 7, 2004



#11
1/19/04
1668

P. Bedrosian 7

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Patent Application

Applicant(s): P. Bedrosian
Case: 7
Serial No.: 09/332,726
Filing Date: June 14, 1999
Group: 2663
Examiner: Keith M. George

I hereby certify that this paper is being deposited on this date with the U.S. Postal Service as first class mail addressed to the Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.
Signature: Laura M. Hamlin Date: April 7, 2004

Title: Method and Apparatus for Synchronization of High-Bit-Rate Digital Subscriber Line Signals

RECEIVED

APR 14 2004

Technology Center 2600

RESPONSE TO OFFICE ACTION

Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

In response to the Office Action dated January 7, 2004 in the above-identified application, please consider the remarks below:

REMARKS

The present application was filed on June 14, 1999 with claims 1-22. Claims 1-22 remain pending. Claims 1, 9 and 17 are the pending independent claims.

In the outstanding Office Action dated January 7, 2004, the Examiner: (i) rejected claims 1-3, 5, 6, 9-11, 13, 14, 17-19, 21 and 22 under 35 U.S.C. §102(e) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 5,864,592 (hereinafter "Itri"); (ii) rejected claims 4, 12 and 20 under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over Itri in view of U.S. Patent No. 5,068,877 (hereinafter "Near"); and (iii) rejected claims 7, 8, 15 and 16 under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over Itri in view of U.S. Patent No. 5,638,379 (hereinafter "Narasimha").

In this response, Applicant traverses the §102(e) and §103(a) rejections. Applicant respectfully requests reconsideration of the present application in view of the following remarks.